

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MH

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | | FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------|-----|-------------|--------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| 09/546,966 | | | 04/11/2000 | David T. Pollock | ENDOV-51639 | 4186 |
| 24201 | 759 | 90 | 05/12/2003 | | | |
| | | | ON LEE & UTE | EXAMINER | | |
| HOWAR 6060 CE | | | CENTER E | BUI, VY Q | | |
| TENTH I LOS AN | | | 90045 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| - | | Í | | | 3731 DATE MAILED: 05/12/2003 | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| * | | N K. | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | | |
| | 09/546,966 | POLLOCK, DAVID T. | | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| | Vy Q. Bui | 3731 | | | | | | |
| Th MAILING DATE f this c mmunication appears on th cov r sh et with th correspondenc addr ss Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFf after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Modute, cause the application to become | a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | <u>11 April 2003</u> . | | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ | This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application | ation. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,11,16,18,19,21 | and 24-35 is/are withdrawn | from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-10,12-15,17,20,22 and 23</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | , | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docum | nents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document | | Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No | 3) 5) Notice | ow Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/546,966

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

The election of species III, Group I and associated claims 1-3, 5-10, 12-15, 17-20, 22 and 23 without traverse is acknowledge and made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1- 3, 5-9, 12-15, 17-18, 20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by CHUTER (6,454,795).

As to claims 1-3, 5-9, 12-15, 17-18, 20 and 22, CHUTER (Fig. 10-12) discloses a medical apparatus in a hollow cylinder configuration with open cells formed by longitudinal members or circumferentially spaced beams 12/14, which join at merge sections 16. CHUTER medical apparatus meets all structural limitations as recited in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 10, 19 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CHUTER (6,454,795).

As to claim 10 and 23, CHUTER discloses substantially all structural limitations as recited in the claim, except for a conical shape of the medical device in an expanded condition. Since the shape of the medical device in an expanded condition is dependent to the shape of a balloon used to expand the medical device, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make CHUTER device having a conical shape with a balloon which can be expanded in the conical shape. Notice that CHUTER device meets all structural limitations as recited in the claims. In addition, a conical stent is well known in the art.

As to claim 19, CHUTER discloses the radial thickness of beams of the medical device is greater than the width of the beams of the medical device, but does not disclose a specific ratio between the width and the radial thickness of the beams. However, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to size the beams as recited in the claim, since such a modification would have involved a mere specifying in the size of a device to fit its use. A specifying in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Art Unit: 3731

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vy Q. Bui whose telephone number is 703-306-3420 or email to Vy.Bui@USPTO.GOV. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Milano can be reached on 703-308-2496. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-2708 for regular communications and 703-308-2708 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

VQB

May 5, 2003.